	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability Example 1	09/895,478	HARNDEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer M. Dolan	2813
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT F of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in s) or other appropriate commu RIGHTS. This application is s	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>Amdt. of 10/27/05.</u>		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1, 2, and 4-7</u> .		
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	re been received. re been received in Application	n No
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONI THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submiNFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	nitted. Note the attached EXA /es reason(s) why the oath or	MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF declaration is deficient.
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mutering (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in 	son's Patent Drawing Review - 's Amendment / Comment or 1.84(c)) should be written on th	in the Office action of e drawings in the front (not the back) of
DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	osit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	RIAL must be submitted. Note the
		f ·
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Su	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 9/10/01;5/30/02; ははつこと 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 		Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance
	9. Other	CARL WHITEHEAD, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENTEXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application is in condition for allowance except for the presence of claims 8-12 directed to an invention non-elected without traverse. Accordingly, claims 8-12 have been cancelled.

Examiner's Amendment

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claims 8-12 have been cancelled.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1, 2, and 4-7 are allowed.
- 4. The Examiner notes the Applicant's explanation of how the specifically claimed leadframe geometry allows for both a reduced footprint as well as a reduced height package in the Applicant's remarks filed on 10/27/05. The Examiner generally agrees with the Applicant's arguments, and offers the following remarks on reasons for allowance:

Application/Control Number: 09/895,478

Art Unit: 2813

The primary reason for allowance is that the prior art provides insufficient motivation for combining the features of disposing the die and the bonding wires on the bottom side of a leadframe diepad for a package having all of the geometric constraints recited in claim 1, such as having the leads folding underneath the package in the claimed manner.

The closest prior art of record is Japanese Patent Publication 59-161851 to Yoshida, which teaches a package having the leads folded underneath the package in the manner claimed. Yoshida, however, as well as other similar prior art, provides the die and the bonding wires on the top side of the leadframe, such that a thick portion of the package is present on the top of the leadframe to enclose the die and the bonding wires, as well as on the bottom of the leadframe, in order to accommodate the leads folding under the package body.

Although disposition of both the die and the bonding wires on either the top side of the leadframe or the bottom side is well known and well-established in the art (see, for example, JP 62-117355 to Komatsu et al. or US 5,508,557 to Sunada), the prior art provides no motivation for using such a configuration with the claimed lead geometry, but rather, the prior art simply presents such alternate configurations as art-recognized equivalents or alternate design choices. Since the claimed combination of the leadframe geometry and the die and bonding wire positioning leads to a reduction in the height of the package over similar, small footprint prior art packages, (such as Yoshida), the Examiner concedes that the die-over leadframe and die-under leadframe type configurations, as in Komatsu, cannot reasonably be considered art equivalents or mere design choices when applied to a reduced footprint package, as in Yoshida, and thus the prior art does not provide sufficient motivation to combine all of the claimed elements.

Art Unit: 2813

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer M. Dolan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1690. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl W. Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer M. Dolan Examiner Art Unit 2813

jmd